19 Ap	ril 1966
MEMORANDUM FOR:	25X1A
l. I don't have too much trouble with this exc not consistent and reads a little bit like a wish bo	
2. This Appendix says that mission oriented lends itself to neat management. This is contrar on backlogs. Further, it says that finished intell exploitation is hard to schedule and manage because ad hoc in nature. The work load paper in cont that this was a lot of level of effort operation. In production schedules for NIE's, etc., are published that the production of th	y to the appendix igence support use it tends to rast indicated any event,
3. I just can't buy the Section 5 material at a not appear to be in general a summary or consensure preceding material, but rather just sort of leaps It probably is unrealistic in many areas also.	sus from the
4. A great deal of the paper refers to automath handling processing, etc., as the Great Solution. bit of grey should be dabbed on to this white hope rather tragic experiences many have had in this a	I think a little in view of the
	25X1A

18 April 1966

Comments on Appendix F.

- 1. This paper looks much better.
- 2. Early introduction of the two charts at the very end of the Appendix, which I have marked Table X and Table Y, might be very helpful. These two charts seem to present the picture pretty well, and I wonder what contribution the first, second and third phase tabulations noted as Annex A and B make.
- 3. I presume the discussion regarding the use of quantitative indications of the amount of product produced has been resolved.

Approved For Releas	≨ 2002/06/14 : CIA-RDP	71B00185A000 10 0020189-3
---------------------	------------------------	--------------------------------------

18 April 1966

I have looked at the draft outline and the draft appendices. I have recommended in general nit-picking editorial type recommendations. These are marked with paper clips on the papers. In addition, in those cases where I have general remarks about the papers, I have attached these to the front of the draft appendix.